
1 **The Mayor’s Hunters Point Shipyard Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)**
2 **Environmental & Reuse Subcommittee**
3 **March 25, 2024**
4 (2 Hours 6 minutes)

5 **I. Call to Order**

6 Dr. Hunnicutt called the Environmental & Reuse Subcommittee meeting to order at 6:14
7 pm.

8
9 **A. Roll Call**

10 Present: Dr. Veronica Hunnicutt, Joyce Armstrong, Servio Gomez, and Dedria Smith
11 Other CAC members: Neola Gans
12 A quorum was established after roll call.

13
14 **B. Approval of Agenda: March 25, 2024**

15 Dedria Smith moved to approve the March 25, 2024 meeting minutes. Joyce Armstrong
16 seconded, and the motion was passed.

17
18 **C. The Approval of the Meeting Minutes: December 4, 2023**

19 Dedria Smith moved to approve the December 4, 2023 meeting minutes. Servio Gomez
20 seconded, and the motion was passed.

21
22 **D. The Approval of the Meeting Minutes: January 22, 2024**

23 Dedria Smith moved to approve the January 22, 2024 meeting minutes. Servio Gomez
24 seconded, and the motion was passed.

25
26 **E. Announcements**

27 The Site Office went over the process for public comment.
28

29 **III. Continuing Business:**

30 **A. The Navy will provide an update on its ongoing cleanup and retesting efforts at Hunters**
31 **Point Naval Shipyard (HPNS). Update topics include radiological retesting and building**
32 **demolition, the Strontium-90 Verification Study, Parcel E-2 landfill gas, and the upcoming**
33 **HPNS Community Involvement Plan (CIP). A more in-depth presentation will be made to**
34 **provide information the Navy’s Climate Resilience Assessment for HPNS. Michael Pound,**
35 **Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Environmental Coordinator will lead the Navy’s**
36 **team during the presentation.**

37**Michael Pound, Environmental Program, HPNS (BRAC Navy)**

38 An elevated landfill gas reading in Parcel E-2, discovered during routine monitoring, raised
39 concerns about potential environmental hazards. In response, the Navy initiated a comprehensive
40 plan, collaborating closely with regulatory agencies to address the issue. Continuous monitoring
41 efforts were intensified, and strategic measures were taken to mitigate methane levels. These
42 efforts included the installation of additional monitoring systems and the implementation of
43 proactive measures to minimize environmental impact. Meanwhile, ongoing non-radiological
44 building demolition operations were closely monitored using advanced real-time air monitoring
45 stations. These stations, strategically positioned around Building 123, monitored air quality for
46 contaminants, including asbestos, lead, manganese, and particulate matter. Rigorous safety

1 protocols were implemented to protect both onsite workers and the surrounding community.
2 These protocols included the development of a Traffic Plan to minimize disruptions and the
3 application of wetting agents to control dust emissions.

4 Simultaneously, radiological object reports documented the meticulous process of excavation and
5 recovery at Parcel C. Soil sample results were meticulously validated to ensure accuracy and
6 compliance with safety standards. This process involved thorough data analysis and collaboration
7 with regulatory authorities to assess potential risks and implement appropriate remediation
8 measures.

9 In parallel, a detailed timeline was established for the verification study of strontium-90
10 contamination. This study involved extensive scoping meetings, data analysis, and quality
11 assurance processes to ensure the reliability of results. Collaboration with regulatory agencies was
12 integral to the study's success, ensuring that findings met established standards and guidelines.
13 Plans for demolishing buildings with a radiological history in Parcel G progressed steadily, with
14 an emphasis on awarding contracts to local businesses and labor. This approach aimed to foster
15 community involvement and economic growth while adhering to strict safety and environmental
16 regulations. The Navy's ongoing update of the Community Involvement Plan (CIP) underscored
17 its commitment to transparent communication and community engagement. Surveys were
18 conducted to gather feedback, allowing the Navy to tailor outreach efforts to community needs
19 and preferences. Comprehensive climate resilience assessments identified various hazards,
20 including coastal flooding and extreme weather events. These assessments informed site practices
21 and risk mitigation strategies, ensuring the site's resilience to future environmental challenges.
22 Rigorous data analysis and projection modeling identified vulnerabilities, such as projected
23 groundwater rise. Site-specific studies were initiated to evaluate these vulnerabilities and verify
24 sea level rise projections, providing critical insights for future mitigation efforts.
25 Looking ahead, the Navy remained committed to conducting detailed site-specific studies to
26 evaluate climate vulnerabilities comprehensively. These studies would inform strategic decision-
27 making and ensure the long-term sustainability and resilience of the site and surrounding
28 community.

29
30 *To hear the presentations, Q&A, and public comments in greater detail, please refer to*
31 *the March 25, 2024, Environmental and Reuse meeting recording at hpscac.com*

32 33 **V. Adjournment**

34 No other business was brought before the committee, and Dr. Hunnicutt adjourned the
35 meeting at 8:20 p.m.